

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

ELECTRONIC

06/10/2011

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,302	12/29/2000	Andrew Rouse	042846-0312814	6724
52796 7590 06/10/2011 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP c/o SUSAN TRADER			EXAMINER	
			COULTER, KENNETH R	
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD P.O. BOX 10500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA	MCLEAN, VA 22102		2445	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docket\_IP@PillsburyLaw.com Susan.Trader@PillsburyLaw.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/750,302	ROUSE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kenneth R. Coulter	2445	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely lifted after SIX (of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failur to not period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become BARNONED (35 U.S.C.§ 1.33).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three mornits after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned pattern them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.79(b)</li> </ul>
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 March 2011. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) Claim(s) 21-40 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 21-40 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) No Some column No Some co
Attachment(s)
1)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/750,302

Art Unit: 2445

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Double Patenting

- 1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3,73(b).

2. Claims 21 – 40 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 – 28 of U.S. Patent No. 7,142,883 (U.S. Pat. Application No. 09/885,139). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim language of the present Application (claims 21 – 40 of 09/750,302) is a broad version of the claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,142,883 (claims 1 – 28).

Application/Control Number: 09/750,302

Art Unit: 2445

Claim 21 in the present Application is a broad version of claim 1 in 7,142,883.

Claim 22 in the present Application maps exactly to claim 4 in '883.

Claim 23 in the present Application maps closely to claim 5 in '883.

Claim 24 in the present Application maps very closely to claim 7 in '883.

Claim 25 in the present Application maps closely to a portion of claim 1 in '883 (claim 1

"generating a mobile design element based on the accessed form ...").

Claims 26 – 40 are mapped similarly given the explanations above.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/21/11 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

No substantive arguments have been made regarding the Double Patenting rejection above

The 35 USC 102 rejections with regard to Kahan and Kikinis have been withdrawn due to the claim amendments on 3/21/11.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/750,302

Art Unit: 2445

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth R. Coulter whose telephone number is (571)272-3879. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 7:30 am - 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on 571 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2445

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kenneth R Coulter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445

/KRC/